



an agency of the
Department of Sport, Arts and Culture

RFQ:23794

QUOTATION DESCRIPTION	DEPART.	CONTACT PERSON	CLOSING DATE
Request for quotation: Appointment of service provider for windows server upgrade at FREEDOM PARK	FINANCE	SCM Tel: 012 336 4029 Email: lerato@freedompark.co.za Technical: benni@freedompark.co.za	21 August 2023 Time: @10h00am
ALL QUOTATIONS OR PROPOSALS TO BE DELIVERED TO: TENDER BOX 2 ND FLOOR ADMIN BUILDING ADDRESS: FREEDOM PARK ADMIN BUILDING CNR KOCH & 7th AVENUE, SALVOKOP, PRETORIA			

INDEX

NO	DETAILS	DOCUMENT
	LIST OF RETURNABLE DOCUMENTS THAT SHOULD FORM PART OF QUOTATION DOCUMENT (NEATLY ATTACHED AS ANNEXURES)	
1	Tax Clearance Certificate or Unique Pin	
2	Proof or supporting documents for points claim on specific goal - SBD6.1	
3	Tax compliant CSD Report	
4	Comprehensive proposal or Quotation that respond to Freedom Park Request (quotation validity must be 90 days)	
5	BBBEE Registration Certificate.	
ALL PRICES MUST BE VAT INCLUSIVE		

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

SPCIFICATIONS FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF SERVICE PROVIDER TO SOURCE AND PROCURE THE ICT SERVICES FOR THE UPGRADE OF ALL VERSION OF MICROSOFT WINDOWS SYSTEMS AT FREEDOM PARK DATACENTRE TO THE LATEST WINDOWS SERVER 2019, AND NETWORK MONITORING INCLUDING ITS RELATED LICENSES

BACKGROUND

The ICT architecture ecosystem of Freedom Park covers its Corporate Head Quarters Office in Pretoria Salvokop and the DR (Disaster Recovery) Data Centre at Midrand.

The Head Office hosts the Microsoft Hyper V server infrastructure for the production of data and administrative information systems for Freedom Park operations.

The DR site hosts the replica Microsoft Hyper V or similar virtualised servers and perimeter firewall, which links to the Pretoria Head Office through an MPLS network hosted at Midrand datacentre.

SCOPE OF WORK

The scope covers the following aspects of upgrading the Windows Servers operating system for the Head Office in Pretoria and the Disaster Recovery site. The upgrade solution will be deployed on both sites as per the table below.

Sites	Hyper-V hosts quantity	Virtual Machines Quantity	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
Head Office	3	7	R	R
DR Site	3	2	R	R
Database SQL License 2019	1 License		R	R

Microsoft Windows License	Windows Server 2019 datacentre licenses	6	R	R
Microsoft Windows	Windows Server 2019 Standard licenses	9	R	R
Microsoft Windows exchange license	Windows server Exchange 2016	1		
2.5-inch 300GB SAS Internal Hard Drive	Replacement of Faulty Hard drive	1	R	R
Procurement and Replacement of Controllers for 2 X EqualLogic PS6210 Type 15 10GB iSCSI 16GB Cache Controller	Replacement of the faulty Server Controllers	2	R	R
Deploy of Network infrastructure Monitoring tool	Progress Whats Gold/similar tool	130 devices	R	R
Post Professional Services	As and when required	50 Hours	R	R

TOTAL PRICE INCLUDING VAT	R
---------------------------	---

NB: It should be mindful that should these hours not be consumed; they can be used for any other consulting services which Freedom Park may require in the near future which related to ICT technical post errors of some kind.

- Provide 6 Windows server 2019 datacentre licenses.
- Provide 5 Windows server 2019 Standard licenses.
- Provide 1 Microsoft SQL Server 2019 - Standard
- Upgrade the 6 Hyper-V hosts to Windows Server 2019 Datacentre
- Upgrade the 9 Hyper-V Virtual Machines to Windows Server 2019 on 2 Datacentre, including **FP-PTA-CURA01** and **FP-PTA-SQL01**
- The service provider must provide, install and maintain Windows Server Operating Systems, i.e. OS patching, security upgrades, OS hardening and OS upgrades.
- For hybrid purposes Service provider to Upgrade the on-premises Active Directory to Windows Server 2019 version.
- If any Service Provider will be required to remove/clean all Legacy Domain Controllers and decommission the old Microsoft exchange.
- Enable active directory (AD) to connect between on-premises environment with office 365.
- Dell EqualLogic and Dell Power Edge (or similar) firmware updates.
- Ensure Migration of applications to new Windows versions.
- Ensure virtual Machines are backup and replicated following the Upgrade local and on the cloud.
- Ensure that all servers are configured and functional before hand over.
- Once upgraded ensure the applications are accessible and machines are patched to the latest.
- Everything that resides in AD, including objects such as users, computers, groups, OUs, GPOs, schema, and sites, policies, roles, printing services need to be migrated to the new clean Active Directory.
- Ensure Primary Domain Controller Active Directory, DHCP and DNS Services are running after upgrade.
- Ensure the Active Directory connector synchronization after upgrade.

- Enable active directory (AD) to connect between on-premises environment with Microsoft Office 365.
- Mount and reinitialized and present the storage volumes to the machines.
- Reconfigure and upgrade the Clusters to the latest version.
- re-joined the Workloads on each Clusters.
- Install, configure the infrastructure Network monitoring of all mission-critical infrastructure components including applications, services, operating systems, network protocols, systems metrics, and network infrastructure.
- Implement and Track AD changes in real-time with AD Audit plus software.
- Ensure there is a backup copy/snapshot of the workloads prior to the migration.
- Post migration ensure the scheduled Backups jobs are operational and the status of jobs are successfully.

Current Environment

The current operating systems on all the servers are at their End of Life which creates more security risk exposure than simply running an unpatched

End-of-life (EOL) software dangers include:

Incompatible software — New releases of software have been optimized for the most recent operating systems. With an EOL OS that you cannot upgrade, you may be forced to continue running older applications. These apps themselves are probably facing their own EOLs, too.

New vulnerabilities — When a vendor stops issuing security patches, your system becomes a sitting duck for hackers—who will quickly start searching the globe for people who continue to operate in this defenceless mode. Using firewalls and anti-malware countermeasures are not enough to protect your servers from attacks that exploit unpatchable vulnerabilities.

Added expense — The operating costs required to maintain and fix bugs on an OS that's post-EOL can be quite high. In addition, you should estimate the business impact, in dollars, of an outage caused by the EOL OS.

Compliance challenges — Regulatory compliance frameworks usually mandate regular patching. The audit and certification process for systems in regulated industries like healthcare and finance may prohibit the use of EOL systems.

Poor performance and reduced reliability — Running legacy apps on EOL OS's leads to performance and reliability issues. Aging systems tend to break down more often than their more up-to-date and patched counterparts. It's wise to think through the effects of the inevitable downtime that will come with an EOL OS.

The production site which is at the Head Office has 3 physical servers with Windows 2012 cluster with ISCSI connection to 3 clustered SAN devices. The same setup is replicated at the DR site.

The following is the detailed build and resource allocation per HOST and Hyper V virtual Servers.

HEAD OFFICE INFRASTRUCTURE SCOPE

	Server (HOST)	OS	HDD1 (GB)	HDD2 (GB)	Memory (GB)	No CPU Cores	SQL	Purpose
1	HOST01 (Dell PowerEdge R630)	Windows Server 2012 R2 Datacenter	278 GB HDD	-	256 GB	48		Hyper V Virtual Server Host Server
2	HOST02 (Dell PowerEdge R630)	Windows Server 2012 R2 Datacenter	278 GB HDD	-	256 GB	48		Hyper V Virtual Server Host Server
3	HOST03 (Dell PowerEdge R630)	Windows Server 2012 R2 Datacenter	278 GB HDD	-	256 GB	48		Hyper V Virtual Server Host Server
4	WSUS Server	Windows Server 2012 R2 STD	100 GB OS VHD	800 GB VHD	8 GB	4	-	Windows update Services
5	Veeam Backup Server	Windows Server 2012 R2	129 GB OS VHD	D: 11TB VHD O: 2.5 TB VHD	16G B	8		Veeam Backup and Replication Enterprise Plus and Veeam One Reporting

6	Active Directory	Windows Server 2012 R2 STD	100 GB OS VHD	-	8 GB	4	-	Primary Domain Controller Active Directory, DHCP and DNS
7	APPTERM Server	Windows Server 2012 R2 STD	100 GB VHD OS	-	16 GB	4	-	
8	CIB Server	Windows Server 2016 R2 STD	300 GB VHD OS		16G	4		

DR SITE SERVERS:

	HOST SERVER	OS	HDD1 (GB)	HDD2 (GB)	Memory (GB)	No CPU	SQL	Purpose
1	HOST01 (Dell PowerEdge R710)	Windows Server 2012 R2 Datacenter	135 GB HDD	-	130 GB	16		Hyper V Virtual Server Host Server
2	HOST02 (Dell PowerEdge R710)	Windows Server 2012 R2 Datacenter	135 GB HDD	-	130 GB	16		Hyper V Virtual Server Host Server
3	HOST03 (Dell PowerEdge R710)	Windows Server 2012 R2 Datacenter	135 GB HDD	-	130 GB	16		Hyper V Virtual Server Host Server
4	Exchange Server	Windows Server 2016 STD	136 GB NTFS OS	D1,63 TB NTFS Exchange DB	72 GB	2	-	Hybrid exchange server
5	Domain Controller	Windows Server 2012 STD	100 GB NTFS OS		8 GB	2	-	Hybrid exchange server

FORMAT OF THE PROPOSAL

Service providers are expected to index the proposals for easy reference.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Evaluation Criteria	Score	Total Points
Experience and Track Record		
<p>Bidder to provide a list of current and previous projects on similar specification (upgrading of windows operating systems) in other institutions similar like Freedom Park. (The table consists of the following required information (Organisation/Department, Project description, Project Value, Year completed or to be completed and contact details of the project manager)</p> <p>a) Completed or current 5 similar Projects and above = (5 Points) b) Completed or current 3 Projects (3 Points) c) Completed or current 2 Projects (2 Points) d) Completed or current 1 Project (1 Points) e) No Project completed or current (0 Points)</p> <p>(Complete the attached past and current projects form)</p>	4	20

Contactable Reference		
<p>The bidder must submit reference letters from clients who have acquired the same type of service from the bidder. Reference letters must include the name of the organisation, contact person, contact details and it must be signed and be on the client's letterhead.</p> <p>The bidder to provide a minimum of 5 reference letters.</p> <p>(a) 01 to 02 reference letters = (1 points) (b) 02 reference letters = (2 Points) (c) 03 reference letters = (3 Points) (d) 04 reference letters = (4 Points) (e) 05 and Above reference letters (5 Points)</p>	4	20
Technical Capacity of Key Personnel		
<p>Service Provider to submit CVs of key personnel assigned for this project. A maximum of 3 CVs of key personnel in the following field: International Certifications Required</p> <p>Microsoft Server (MCSE), Dell Storage, Veeam Backup, network Monitoring.</p> <p>Administrator personnel/ personnels with the following experience</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 years and above' experience of Microsoft Windows Server, Domain controller (Active Directory), storage, backups, server migrations, Network monitoring. (5 Points) • 7- 9 years' experience of Microsoft Windows Server, Domain controller (Active Directory), storage, backups, server migrations, Network Monitoring (4 Points) • 5 -6 years' experience of Microsoft Windows Server, Domain controller (Active Directory), storage, backups, server migrations, Network monitoring. (3 Points) • 1 - 3 years' experience of Microsoft Windows Server, Domain controller (Active Directory), storage, backups, server migrations, Network monitoring. (2 Point) 	6	30
Methodology		
<p>Comprehensive proposal/methodology to deliver the scope of work required. The service provider must provide an implementation plan. The plan must be detailed and must include, but not limited to, the following requisites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Objective and Requirements • Projects Stakeholders and Team • Deliverables • Timeframes 		30

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk Assessment • Project Initiation Document outlining workstreams (statement of the work) millstones • Project Reporting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft Detailed Methodology/Proposal which covers the above (5 Points) • Generic/Basic Methodology/Proposal (3 Points) • No Methodology/Proposal (0 Points) 	6	
Total		100
Minimum threshold which bidders should score to qualify for the next evaluation (Price and Specific goals)	70	

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

All written deliverables must be phrased in terms and language that must be understood by non-subject matter experts.

If subcontracting is envisaged, the service provider must clearly indicate which parts of the work will be subcontracted. The service provider must accept full responsibility for any work that is subcontracted.

Where no subcontractor is given, it shall be assumed that the work shall be carried out directly by the service provider.

Should it transpire that the proposal submitted by the service provider is not acceptable; the Freedom Park may decide to reject the proposal.

BIDDER'S CURRENT AND PAST PROJECTS

Bidders are hereby requested to complete the following table and list their current and previous similar projects

CURRENT PROJECTS

Name of organization Department/Company	Project Description	Date of appointment	Contract Period	Project Value	Contact Person

PREVIOUS PROJECTS

Name of organization Department/Company	Project Description	Date of appointment	Contract Period	Project Value	Contact Person

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

(delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the 90/10 preference point system.
- b) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the 80/20 preference point system.
- c) Either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

- 1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.
- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right) \text{ or } Ps = 90 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right)$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - Pmax}{Pmax} \right) \text{ or } Ps = 90 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - Pmax}{Pmax} \right)$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:

- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
 - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,
- then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.
(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (90/10 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (90/10 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
100% Black owned		6		
51% -99% Black owned		4		
100% women owned		8		
51% -99% women owned		4		
100% youth owned		5		
51% -99% youth owned		2		
2% Owned by Persons with Disabilities		1		

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- One-person business/sole propriety
- Close corporation
- Public Company
- Personal Liability Company
- (Pty) Limited
- Non-Profit Company
- State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has

been applied; and

- (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary

..... SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)	
SURNAME AND NAME:
DATE:
ADDRESS:

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

- 2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**
- 2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract?
YES/NO

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

1. **DECLARATION**

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.

- a. I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of bidder